



Ausable Bayfield Conservation Authority

Watershed Report Card

2007

Cite as: Veliz, M., H. Brock, and J. Neary, 2006. Ausable Bayfield Conservation Authority Watershed Report Card 2007. Ausable Bayfield Conservation Authority. Exeter, Ontario. 104 pp.

ISBN number: 0-9781887-0-5

Copies of this publication are available online and can be ordered from:

Ausable Bayfield Conservation Authority,
71108 Morrison Line, RR 3 Exeter, ON N0M 1S5
www.abca.on.ca, (519) 235-2610 or 1-888-286-2610

Cover, back and several inside photos by Daniel Holm, courtesy Ausable Bayfield Maitland Valley Source Water Protection Project.

Special thanks for use of images to Government of Canada (Fisheries and Oceans Canada), Province of Ontario (Ministry of Environment, Ministry of Natural Resources, Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs), Ontario Federation of Agriculture, Ausable Bayfield Conservation Authority staff and others. Every effort has been made to credit photos and images. If any image has not received appropriate attribution please let us know and the change will be made to electronic and subsequent versions.



AUSABLE BAYFIELD CONSERVATION AUTHORITY WATERSHED REPORT CARD 2007





Ausable Bayfield Watershed Report Card

Executive Summary

We are proud to share with you the inaugural Watershed Report Card for the Ausable Bayfield Conservation Authority. We want this report to do more than sit on a shelf. Our wish is that this Report Card will become a road map for local action to protect our environment.

Report cards based on the forest and surface water quality conditions were completed for 16 watersheds in the Bayfield River (three watersheds), the Ausable River (eight watersheds), Parkhill Creek (two watersheds) and smaller Lake Huron tributaries (three areas).

Provincial indicators of forest health and surface water conditions were recommended by Conservation Ontario in order to standardize the reporting process across the province.

Forest condition indicators included: per cent forest cover and per cent forest interior as determined with digitized provincial topographic series maps.

Surface water quality indicators included: total phosphorus, *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), and a measure of the benthic invertebrate community. Phosphorus is an element that determines plant growth and is often associated with eroding soil particles. *E. coli* are a type of bacteria that can be found in human and animal waste. Their presence in water indicates a possible contamination with sewage or animal waste, as well as a potential for the water to have other disease-causing organisms. Benthic invertebrates are small animals without backbones that live in stream or lake sediments. The family biotic index (FBI) summarizes the information about the numbers and types of these animals in the sediment that in turn, indicate stream health. Lower FBI values indicate more animals that need clear, clean water; higher FBI values indicate more animals that tolerate turbid, nutrient-enriched conditions. Surface water quality samples have been taken at 33 locations in ABCA jurisdiction for this report card.

Forest cover is limited in the Ausable Bayfield area. Most of the watersheds fall into a grade of D.

Few watersheds have good forest conditions. Agriculture, and in a few areas, urbanization, have resulted in the clearing of much Ausable Bayfield watershed forest cover which consequently results in this poor grade.

The conclusions from the water quality indicators collected in the watershed between 2000 and 2005 suggest water quality in the Ausable Bayfield area is of moderate to poor quality (i.e., mainly C grades). Water quality reflects both the natural features (e.g., soil characteristics, tree cover) and land use. Low forest cover in the area combined with predominantly clay soils, intensive agricultural activities, and urbanization in some locations, result in water quality conditions that need improvement.

Improving water quality involves a number of approaches. The most essential activity is to focus on your own property and identify and prioritize key actions. In all watershed report cards, there is an action item for individuals to refer to their appropriate stewardship guide. Farmers are referred to the Environmental Farm Plan and other rural residents are referred to either the Stewardship Guide for the Lake Huron Coastline (2006) or a stewardship guide that will soon be released in Huron County.

Individual efforts that come together will have a positive cumulative effect. Many individual behaviours contributed to degraded watershed health in the past and, likewise, it is positive individual actions in the future that can lead to improved or protected watershed health.

Summarizing forest and water quality conditions on a watershed basis provides this information on an ecologically-relevant scale. We are often more accustomed to thinking of our property in terms of municipal boundaries (towns, townships and counties) rather than ecosystem boundaries, but water conditions are dependent on watershed boundaries. Grading the watersheds helps highlight areas we need to protect and areas that we need to improve.



Thank You!

The authors are grateful of the support and advice of the following funders, contributors and reviewers:

Authors

Mari Veliz
Hope Brock
Jim Neary

Additional Funders

Environment Canada
generously contributed
to the production of this
report.

Contributors

Angela Baitz
Tracey Boitson
Aaron Clarke
Tim Cumming
Erin Dolmage
Davin Heinbuck
Kathy Hodgins
Brian Luinstra
Kate Monk

Reviewers

Steve Bowers, Huron Stewardship Council
Ted Briggs, Ontario Ministry of the Environment
Steve Evans, Middlesex County
Ray Letheren, Friends of the Bayfield River
Rebecca Lunn-de Wit, Ontario Federation of Agriculture
Karen Maaskant, Upper Thames River Conservation Authority
Kevin McKague, Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs
Teresa Ondrejicka, Past Chair, Ausable Bayfield Conservation Authority
Tom Prout, Ausable Bayfield Conservation Authority
Susanna Reid, County of Huron
Allan Rothwell, County of Perth
Holly Simpson, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
Patti Scherer, County of Lambton
Alec Scott, Ausable Bayfield Conservation Authority
Paul Warwick, Ontario Federation of Agriculture





Ausable Bayfield Watershed Report Card

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	Page i
Acknowledgments	Page ii
Table of Contents	Page iii
Part A: The Report Card Overview	
1.0 Introduction	Page 1
1.1 Background	Page 2
2.0 Methodology	Page 6
2.1 The Process	Page 6
2.2 Measures of Ecosystem Quality	Page 9
Part B: Introduction to the Watersheds	
Bayfield River	Page 16
Ausable River	Page 17
Dunes	Page 19
Parkhill Creek	Page 20
Gullies	Page 22
Mud Creek	Page 23
Part C: Watershed Report Cards	
Bayfield Headwaters	Page 26
Main Bayfield	Page 30
Bannockburn	Page 34
Ausable Headwaters	Page 38
Black Creek	Page 42
Upper Ausable	Page 46
Little Ausable	Page 50
Nairn Creek	Page 54
Middle Ausable	Page 58
Lower Ausable	Page 62
Dunes	Page 66
Upper Parkhill	Page 70
Lower Parkhill	Page 74
North Gullies	Page 78
South Gullies	Page 82
Mud Creek	Page 86
Part D: Conclusions	
1.0 Summary	Page 91
2.0 Glossary	Page 100
3.0 References	Page 103